REMARKS

Claims 1-33 are pending in the application. In a final Office Action mailed on

February 21, 2001, a defect in the oath or declaration was noted. Further, Claims 1-13 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Claims 1-13 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C.

§ 112, second paragraph. Independent Claim 1, as well as certain dependent claims stemming

therefrom, were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). Claim 14, as well as other dependent claims,

were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Independent Claim 25, as well as dependent Claim 32

were also rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claim 24 was noted as containing allowable

subject matter. Finally, Claim 13 was noted as allowable if rewritten to overcome rejections

under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Applicants note with appreciation the notice of allowable subject matter, and has

amended each claim accordingly and rewritten them as new Claims 34 and 35. Further,

Claims 1, 12, 14, and 25 have been amended above to clarify the invention.

In view of the foregoing amendments, applicants respectfully submit that all rejections

have been overcome and that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Defective Oath/Declaration

The Office Action sets forth a requirement for a new oath or declaration in compliance

with 37 C.F.R. § 1.67(a) because the original was deemed to be defective. Specifically, non-

initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration because the

residence of inventor Stagi has been altered without an initial. Applicants acknowledge with

regret this error and will submit a new oath in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.67(a) with the next

communication with the Patent Office.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**LC 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the

art to make and/or use the invention. Specifically, the Office Action sets forth that the

specification does not provide a written description to describe how each open end of the first

conduit is adapted to receive the interior end of the first cable as claimed in Claim 1 because the

first cable has only one interior end. In accordance with a telephone conference with Examiner

Nguyen on March 13, 2001, applicants have amended Claim 1 accordingly.

Claims 1-13 also stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicants regard as the invention. Claims 1 and 12 have been amended accordingly to

overcome this rejection.

In view of the foregoing amendments to Claims 1 and 12, applicants respectfully submit

that the rejection of these claims, as well as dependent claims stemming therefrom, have been

overcome.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1, 10, and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 4,450,318, issued to Scardina. The Office Action sets forth the position that Scardina

discloses each and every element of independent Claim 1. Applicants respectfully disagree.

Moreover, in view of the foregoing amendments to Claim 1, applicants submit that Claim 1, as

well as dependent claims stemming therefrom, are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious in

view of Scardina.

Scardina discloses an insulation splice for a cable 10 having insulation 16 of a second

cable 12 stripped back from conductors, allowing lengths of the conductors to be exposed. The

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

conductors are fastened together by braising, soldering, or other convenient methods. The next

step in forming the joint is to apply at least one layer of a flexible tape 28 over the joint. Finally,

a layer of shrinkable polyester film is applied along at least the length of the tape, such that it

extends from one cable insulation to the surface of the other. After construction of the splice,

sufficient heat is applied to cause the shrinkable film 30 to contract tightly about the joint.

Note that there is absolutely no teaching or suggestion within Scardina of a connector that

includes a first conduit creating a fluid tight seal that can hold at least 30 psig of internal

pressure, as now set forth in amended Claim 1. Further, Scardina fails to teach or suggest a

connector having a first conduit that permits the passage of fluid having a viscosity of less than

or equal to 1000 centipoise therethrough, as now also set forth in amended Claim 1. Instead,

Scardina merely teaches a splice that includes a "shrinkable film 30 to contract tightly about the

joint." Column 4, lines 42-43. Therefore, because Scardina does not teach or suggest the

connector of amended Claim 1, applicants respectfully submit that Claim 1, as well as those

claims dependent therefrom, are not anticipated or rendered obvious by Scardina.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Independent Claims 14 and 25, as well as certain dependent claims stemming therefrom,

stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). In that regard, independent Claim 14 was rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scardina in view of U.S. Patent

No. 4,943,685, issued to Reynert. Claim 25 was similarly rejected as being unpatentable over

Reynert in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,764,232, issued to Hunter, and Scardina. Applicants

respectfully disagree.

As discussed above, Scardina merely teaches a splice that includes shrinkable film that is

heat treated to contract over flexible tape 28. Reynert discloses a cable splice 10 joining two

cable sections 12 and 14. A tubular housing member 26 is applied over the strip end of the cable

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSTAGE 1420 Fifth Avenue

Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.682.8100 sections 12 and 14, with the ends of the housing member 26 clamped to sheaths 22 of the cable

sections by any suitable means, such as a hose clamp 28. The housing member 26 defines a

space around the splice which is filled with a suitable potting compound 30. Thus, Reynert

discloses a cable splice that includes a housing attached to two sections of cables, such that a

potting compound may fill the interior of the housing.

Applicants respectfully submit that there is absolutely no teaching or suggestion within

either Scardina or Reynert of a connector having a sheath permitting the passage of fluid having

a viscosity of less than or equal to 1000 centipoise, wherein the sleeve forms a fluid tight seal

that can hold at least 30 psig of internal pressure, as now generally set forth in amended

Claim 14. For at least this reason, applicants respectfully submit that the hypothetical

combination of Scardina and Reynert fail to teach or suggest the connector of Claims 2, 14, 21,

and 23.

As previously discussed, Claim 25 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Reynert in view of Hunter and Scardina. The Office Action sets forth the

position that it would have been obvious to use the cable adapter of Hunter in the connector of

Reynert. Further, the Office Action sets forth the position that it would have been obvious to use

the housing of Scardina to encase and to seal the sleeve of Reynert to further protect the joint

between the cables. Applicants respectfully submit that such a combination does not render

obvious the embodiment of amended Claim 25.

As discussed above, the primary reference merely teaches a cable splice that includes a

housing adapted to receive potting compound therein. Hunter discloses a closure 10 for sealing a

splice 12 between two cables 14 and 16. Each cable 14 and 16 has a plurality of individual

insulated wire conductors 18 and 20. The wire conductors 18 and 20 are joined by

connectors 22. In forming the closure, sealant tape 24 is wrapped around each of the cables 14

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

and 16. At one end 26 of the closure 10, a hollow pressure measuring tube 28 is placed upon the

sealant tape 24. Another layer of sealant tape 31 is then wrapped around the pressure measuring

tube 28 and cable 16. A flexible reservoir 30 is then positioned around the splice 12. Curable

liquid sealant 34 is introduced into the interior of the flexible reservoir 30. It is desirable that the

reservoir 30 be maintained under pressure for a sufficient period of time to permit the liquid

sealant 34 to cure. Curing of the liquid sealant 34 allows the sealant 34 to permanently remain at

its predetermined level even if the pressure measuring tube 28 should be punctured or unsealed

at some later time. Applicants respectfully submit that combining the foregoing references

would not yield the invention of amended Claim 25.

Applicants respectfully note that there is absolutely no teaching or suggestion within

either Reynert or Hunter of a connector that includes a sleeve that creates a fluid tight seal for the

passage of repair fluid having a viscosity of less than or equal to 1000 centipoise, wherein the

fluid tight seal can hold at least 30 psig of internal pressure, as now set forth in amended

Claim 25. Additionally, there is absolutely no teaching or suggestion within either Reynert or

Hunter of a connector that includes a sleeve having a fluid injection port for passing repair fluid

into and out of the injection port, as originally recited in Claim 25. Thus, for at least the

foregoing reasons, applicants respectfully submit that the hypothetical combination of Reynert

and Hunter fails to teach or suggest the connector of Claim 25, both as originally filed and as

amended herein. Accordingly, applicants submit that Claim 25 is patentable over the cited

references of record.

Applicants also submit that the hypothetical combination of Reynert and Scardina also

fails to teach or suggest the connector of amended Claim 25. In that regard, there is absolutely

no teaching or suggestion of either the need or desire of a connector having a sleeve that creates

a fluid tight seal for the passage of repair fluid having a viscosity of less than or equal to

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

1000 centipoise, wherein the fluid tight seal can hold at least 30 psig of internal pressure, as now

set forth in amended Claim 25. As a result, applicants respectfully submit that amended

Claim 25 is not rendered obvious in view of a hypothetical combination of Reynert and Scardina.

In summary, applicants respectfully submit that amended Claims 1, 14, and 25 are not

anticipated or obvious in view of the cited references. Further, applicants respectfully submit

that the dependent claims that depend from the independent claims are thus allowable for the

reasons discussed above. In addition, the dependent claims have further limitations that

distinguish over the cited references of record, whether taken individually or in hypothetical

combination. Therefore, applicants respectfully submit that the dependent claims of the present

application should also now be found allowable.

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800 Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

-Page 10 of 13-

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request entry of the amendments and reconsideration, and allowance of all claims. The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney if there are any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESSPLLC

John D. Denkenberger Registration No. 44,060

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1749

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the below date.

Date:

august 21,2001

JDD:lls

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE AUGUST 21, 2001

In the Claims:

1. (Twice Amended) A connector for a first information transmitting cable, the first

information transmitting cable having an outer surface, an interior end, an exterior end, and a

central conductor portion, the connector comprising:

a first conduit having open ends, [each] either open end of the first conduit adapted to

receive the interior end of the first information transmitting cable, the first conduit including a

hollow interior to permit the passage of a fluid having a viscosity of less than or equal to

1000 Centipoise therethrough, wherein the first conduit [is capable of] forming a fluid tight seal

between the first conduit and a portion of the first information transmitting cable, wherein the

fluid tight seal can hold at least 30 psig of internal pressure; and

a second conduit having open ends, the second conduit encasing the first conduit to seal

the first conduit within the second conduit.

12. (Twice Amended) The connector of Claim 1, further comprising a second

information transmitting cable having an outer surface, an interior end, an exterior end, and a

central conductor portion, the second information transmitting cable adapted to be received

within [the other of the] either open [ends] end of the first conduit, wherein the first and second

information transmitting cables are electric cables.

14. (Twice Amended) A connector for repairing and connecting at least one section

of a first electrical cable, the first electrical cable section having an outer surface, an interior end,

an exterior end, and a central conductor portion, the connector comprising:

a sleeve having first and second open ends, a hollow interior to permit the passage of

fluid having a viscosity of less than or equal to 1000 Centipoise therethrough and a port

providing fluid communication with the hollow interior of the sleeve and into the central

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC} 1420 Fifth Avenue Suite 2800

conductor portion of the first electrical cable, wherein the sleeve is capable of receiving and

forming a fluid tight seal with the interior end of the first electrical cable, wherein the fluid tight

seal can hold at least 30 psig of internal pressure; and

a housing having open ends, the housing encasing the sleeve to seal the sleeve within the

housing.

25. (Twice Amended) A connector for passing repair chemicals through at least a

first electrical cable, the first electrical cable having an outer surface, an interior end, an exterior

end and a central conductor portion, the connector comprising:

a cable adapter attachable to the outer surface of the first electrical cable, the cable

adapter located on the outer surface at a position remote from the exterior end of the electrical

cable to leave exposed a portion of the outer surface of the electrical cable adjacent the exterior

end thereof;

a sleeve having a first end, a second end, a fluid injection port and a hollow interior, the

first end of the sleeve adapted to fit over the exposed portion of the outer surface of the electrical

cable adjacent the exterior end thereof, the second end adapted to fit over a conductor contact

which is attached to the central conductor portion of the electrical cable, such that the sleeve

creates a fluid tight seal for passage of repair fluid having a viscosity of less than or equal to

1000 Centipoise into or out the fluid injection port, wherein the fluid tight seal can hold at least

30 psig of internal pressure; and

a housing encasing the sleeve to seal the sleeve within the housing.

Claims 34 and 35 have been added.

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS**LC
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101

206.682.8100

-Page 13 of 13-